Ouachita Baptist University

Scholarly Commons @ Ouachita

Honors Theses

Carl Goodson Honors Program

2018

A Study of the Hebrew Infinitive Absolute and Its Translation in the LXX of the Pentateuch

Taylor Bascue Ouachita Baptist University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.obu.edu/honors_theses



Part of the Biblical Studies Commons, and the Language Interpretation and Translation Commons

Recommended Citation

Bascue, Taylor, "A Study of the Hebrew Infinitive Absolute and Its Translation in the LXX of the Pentateuch" (2018). Honors Theses. 649.

https://scholarlycommons.obu.edu/honors_theses/649

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Carl Goodson Honors Program at Scholarly Commons @ Ouachita. It has been accepted for inclusion in Honors Theses by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons @ Ouachita. For more information, please contact mortensona@obu.edu.

SENIOR THESIS APPROVAL

This Honors thesis entitled

"A Study of the Hebrew Infinitive Absolute and Its Translation in the LXX of the Pentateuch"

written by

Taylor Bascue

and submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for completion of the Carl Goodson Honors Program meets the criteria for acceptance and has been approved by the undersigned readers.

Dr. Doug Nykoraishen, thesis director

Dr. Joey Dodson, second reader

Dr. Johnny Wink, third reader

Dr. Barbara Pemberton, Honors Program airector

April 24, 2018

Translation and textual criticism are two closely related fields. When translating from the original language of the Biblical text there are places variant readings occur between manuscripts. In these places the textual variant may have an impact on how those passages are translated. The translator must interact with these textual variants in order to make a decision as to which reading seems original. One area in which these two fields overlap is in the study of the Septuagint (LXX). This ancient translation is useful for textual criticism in that it provides a text that pre-dates some of the earliest manuscripts that were available for study, until the discovery of the Dead Sea Serolls in 1947. However, even with the discovery of the Dead Sea Serolls, the LXX is still useful for textual criticism due to the fact that recent studies on translation techniques have shown that the translations that seemed to point to a different Hebrew *Vorlage*² are actually just a free translation by the translators. Translation techniques are any regularly used method to translate a lexeme or syntactic construction. An example of this would be the use of the Greek word κυριος to translate the Hebrew Divine Name אוני The reason for studying these translation techniques is that by understanding them it becomes easier to distinguish what is a legitimate variant reading.

In regards to the field of translation, the LXX is a Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible. The translation process of the LXX most likely began sometime around the Third Century B.C., however, this date is somewhat debated. It is also likely that those translators who produced this massive work began with the Pentateuch and from there a multitude of translators worked to complete the rest of the Old Testament over a long period of time. Problems arise, however,

¹ Paul D. Wegner, A Student's Guide to Textual Criticism of the Bible: Its History, Methods, and Results, (Downers Grove, IN: InterVarsity Press, 2006), 89.

² The *Vorlage* is the text that the other manuscripts are copies of. In the case of the LXX it is the text that was used in the translation process.

³ Wegner, 93.

because the LXX does not always provide a literal translation from the Hebrew into the Greek.

In many instances a literal translation from the Hebrew to the Greek would most likely be confusing and made up of poor grammatical constructions. This is similar to how a literal translation from Hebrew to English would be confusing to English readers. The field of translating is a difficult one at times. Jerome, the man who translated the Latin Vulgate is quoted as saying of translation

"Translation... is a difficult, almost impossible art, to master. Languages vary so in their order of words, in their individual metaphors, and in their native idioms. The translator is thus faced with a choice between a literal, word-for-word rendering (which is certain to sound absurd and so be a travesty of the original) and something much freer (in which case he is liable to be accused of being unfaithful)."

Adding to the difficulty of deciphering these translations is the fact that even among the manuscripts of the LXX there are text critical issues. By studying the LXX and the possible translation techniques that might have been used to move from Hebrew to Greek an opportunity arises that allows for an attempt to recreate the Hebrew *Vorlage* of the LXX. This is useful in that it helps to see where possible variants may be between the *Vorlage* of the LXX and the Masoretic Text (MT).

In studying the translation techniques of the LXX, one must narrow the focus. This is because the total number of possible translation techniques is not known. In order to discover these different translation techniques, as well as to be certain of their existence, individual lexemes or syntactic constructions must be studied to find patterns that support or deny the proposed translation technique. The focus for this study was on the Hebrew Infinitive Absolute (IA). The reasoning behind this choice was that the IA can be found in different kinds of

⁴ Ernst Würthwein *Text of the Old Testament* (Grand Rapids, MI: Ecrdmans, 1995), 92-93, as cited in Wegner, 95-96.

construction that would be translated differently. There has also been some study done on this verbal form by Emanuel Tov. His study, however, focused on what he called the "Infinitive Absolute Construction," which is more commonly referred to by Hebrew scholars as the paronomastic construction.⁵ In focusing on this construction, Tov did not examine the remaining usages that do not occur in a paronomastic construction. This study examined a part of his findings in the Pentateuch, as well as the uses of the IA that were not considered "Infinitive Absolute Constructions." In order to go deeper in this study it was first necessary to understand what the IA in Biblical Hebrew (BH) is, what it does, and how it is used in certain constructions. It was then necessary to look at examples of how the LXX translated the various instances of the IA. Once the LXX constructions were analyzed a comparison was attempted and a conclusion was attempted to be drawn. The comparison that was attempted regarded what verbal or nominal forms were used to translate the IA depending on the use of the IA in individual passages.

BH has two categories of non-finite verbs. These categories are infinitives, which designate the *action* or *situation* of a verb, and participles, which refer to the *actor* of a verb. Within the category of infinitives, there are two distinct forms, the infinitive construct and the IA.⁶ The IA differs in form from the infinitive construct in that it cannot be combined with other grammatical or lexical morphemes, meaning that the IA cannot be governed by a preposition or take a pronominal suffix.⁷ The IA in BH has a wide variety of functions. These functions include; 1) acting as a noun in nominative (subject), accusative (object), and genitival (possessor

⁵ Emanuel Tov, "Renderings of Combinations of the Infinitive Absolute and Finite Verbs in the Septuagint—Their Nature and Distribution," in *The Greek and Hebrew Bible: Collected Essays on the Septuagint Vol. 72* (Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill, 1999), 247.

⁶Bruce K. Waltke and M. O'Connor, *An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990), 580.

⁷ Christo H.J. van der Merwe, Jackie A. Naudé, Jan H. Kroeze, *A Biblical Hebrew Reference Grammar* (Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press, 1999), 158.

or source) relationships, 2) acting as an adverb, modifying a finite verb, and 3) substituting for a verb (both finite and non-finite).⁸

1. Paronomastic IAs

The most common use of the IA is in the paronomastic construction. A paronomastic construction is an instance where the IA may precede or follow a finite verb of the same root, and often of the same stem. According to Webster, about 55% of the time the IA occurs with a finite verb of the same root. Waltke and O'Connor note that when the IA is used paronomastically, it shares the same stem as the finite verb, and often the root as well. An example of this occurs in Gen. 2:17 where there is a *Qal* IA followed by a *Qal* imperfect.

There are, however, instances where the IA and the finite verb do not share the same stem, such as when the *Qal* IA is bracketed with the *Niphal* (Exod. 19:13),

:בְּרָל הַמְּה יַצְלָּוּ בְּהַר לאדתַגּע בּוֹ יָד בְּיִ**־סְקּוֹל יִסְקּל אוֹדִירָה יִיְּלְה** אִם־בְּהָמָה אִם־אָישׁ לְא יִחְיֵה בְּמְשׁהְּ הַיּבְל הַמְּה יַצְלְּוּ בְהַר: with the *Piel* (2 Sam. 20:18),

Hiphil (Gen 46:4),

אַנֹכִי אַרֶד עִמֶּךְ מִצְרִיְמָה וְאַנֹבִי אַעלְדָּ גִּם־עַלָה וְיוֹסֶׁף יָשִית יָדָו עַל־עִינֵידְּ:

⁸ Brian L. Webster, *The Cambridge Introduction to Biblical Hebrew* (Cambridge, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 282; IBHS, 581.

⁹ Webster, 282.

¹⁰ IBHS, 584.

and Hithpael (Isa. 24:19).11

ָרָעָה הָתָרֹעַצָּה הָאָָרֶץ פָּוֹר הְתְפּוֹרָרָהֹ אָׁרָץ <mark>מְוֹט הָתְמוֹטְטָה</mark> אָרֶץ:

In either case, whether the words share the same stem or not, these constructions use the infinitive as an internal accusative, which is a nominal use of the IA. In BH an accusative noun is said to modify the verb, acting as either an object (indicating the direction of the action) or specifying other aspects of the verbal action. ¹² An internal accusative is an accusative used as the object of the verb that functions adverbially. ¹³ Thus the paronomastic use of the IA intensifies the finite verb, causing this usage to be called the intensifying infinitive. ¹⁴ When this construction is used, the author/speaker expresses his conviction of the truth of what he said regarding the action. Thus, when this construction has been used, listeners are not able to claim that the speaker was unclear regarding their statement. ¹⁵ The paronomastic construction helps to clarify and emphasize the speaker's perspective or mood. Paronomastic constructions are usually translated into English with glosses such as *surely*, *certainly*, *definitely*, *indeed*, *clearly*, or *in fact*. ¹⁶

2. IAs as Adverbial Complement

Another nominal use of the IA in BH is as an adverbial complement. Adverbial complements are nouns used in the place of an adverb to denote time, place, condition, manner, and specification, thus detailing a feature of the verbal action.¹⁷ When the IA is used in this way

¹¹ BHRG, 158.

¹² Ibid., 161.

¹³ Ibid., 167.

¹⁴ Ibid., 584.

¹⁵ BHRG, 158.

¹⁶ Webster, 282.

¹⁷ IBHS, 169.

it describes the attendant circumstance of the situation represented by the finite verb. This is most commonly found where there is a non-paronomastic IA paired with a finite verb without the use of a *vav* conjunction. It is also possible for an IA to be paired with another IA that follows the finite verb and the two of them together act as adverbial complements, such as in Gen. 8:5.18

The adverbial infinitive may also be bracketed by a paronomastic pairing. These pairings will almost always come after the main verb that they are modifying. These two IAs will be connected to each other with a vav conjunction. According to Webster, the most common word in these pairings is הַלֹך Other words of motion such as שַּׁשֶׁכֵּם are also commonly used in these pairings. This kind of construction often indicates repetition or continuance (Gen. 8:7).²⁰

The uses of this construction may also indicate a simultaneous action (Jud. 14:9).²¹

⁹ ניִּרְדֵּהוּ אֶל־כּפָּיו <mark>ניֵלֶה הַלּוֹה וְאָכֹל</mark> ניֵּלֶהְ אֶל־אָבְיו וְאֶל־אָמֹו ניִּמַן לְהֶם ניּאֹכֵלוּ וְלְאֹ־הָגְּיִד לְהֶׁם כֵּי מְגְניֵת הָאַרְיֵה רְדֶה הדבש:

3. Other Nominal Uses

Other nominal uses of the IA include the following: as the subject of a verbal clause (2 Sam. 1:4; Job 6:25), subject or predicate of a verbless clause (Prov. 25:27; Isa. 32:17), object of a preposition (2 Kgs. 13:17), or the genitive in a construct phrase (Isa. 14:23). Joüon notes that the previously stated uses are rather rare of the IA and are more common uses of the Infinitive

¹⁸ BHRG, 160; IBHS., 588-89.

¹⁹ Webster, 284.

²⁰ IBHS, 589.

²¹ Paul Joüon and Takamitsu Muraoka, *A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew: Revised English Edition* (Rome: Editrice Pontificio Intituto Biblico, 2006), 395.

Construct.²² The IA can also be used as a direct object (Isa. 1:17), the adverbial accusative of state (Mic. 6:8), adverbial accusative of specification (Isa. 59:12-13), or in a double accusative construction (Lam. 3:45). These differ from the other nominal uses because the previous constructions were in the accusative case, but describe the attendant circumstances of the main verb. ²³ Typically these nominal uses are found when the IA is used on its own or as part of a list. According to Webster, approximately 33% of these instances have the IA acting as a noun.²⁴ Often when the IA is acting as a noun, it will be translated into English with a gerund.²⁵

4. IA as Adverb

Outside of the nominal uses, the IA may also take the place of an adverb or adjective. Of the instances where the IA occurs individually or in a list, approximately 20% act as adverbs or adjectives. These uses are usually words such as בּרְבָּה and בּרְבָּה The two previous words, as well as others in the *Hiphil* stem, are usually considered as adverbs. Other words that are IAs used as adverbs include בּיִשְׁכֵּם, בֹּרְחֵק Examples of this use in the Old Testament include Deut. 9:21, 13:15; 1 Sam. 26:21; and Josh. 3:16.

Deut. 9:21

²¹ וֶאֶת־חַפַּאתְכֶּם אֶשֶׁר־צְשִׁיתֶם אֶת־הָעַּגֶל לָקַחָתִּ" נָאֶשְׁרָף אֹתוֹן בָּאֵשׁ נָאָכּּת אֹתוֹ טְחוֹן <mark>הַיַּטְׁבּ</mark> עֵד אֶשְׁר־הָּק לְעָפֶר וָאשְׁלֹהְ' אַת־עַפָּרוֹן אַל־הַנָּחֵל הַיֹּרֶד מִן־הָהָר:

²² Joüon, 391.

²³ IBHS, 591-92.

²⁴ Webster, 285.

²⁵ Ibid., 286.

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ IBHS, 592. Note that סמר is a Piel IA and not a Hifil.

5. Verbal Uses of the IA

Since the IA is a kind of verbal noun, it has the ability to be used in places where one would expect a verb. When an IAs stands alone or in a list it is possible to translate it as an imperative or jussive in about 18% of these occurrences. 28 When the IA is used in the place of an imperative or jussive, it will be asyndetic (without the vav conjunction) and at the beginning of its clause. This use of the IA is predominantly used to express divine commands, legislative commands, or legislative jussives.²⁹ The IA may also be used in place of a cohortative, jussive, perfective, and non-perfective verb, as well as in proverbial statements. The manner in which the IA is used can be determined in part by the use of the vav conjunction. An infinitive without vav has no formal or clear connection with the preceding statement. 30 Occurrences of an IA used as a finite verb without vav usually occur in direct speech. 31 An IA with a vav may also be used in the place of a finite verb. Webster notes that there are 110 instances of the IA with vav outside of those IAs that have been paired with other words to modify the main verb. Of those instances, 35 are paronomastic, leaving 75 instances where there is no main verb linked to the IA. Because of this the infinitive then becomes the verb and the context supplies the subject and other verbal information.³² A. Rubinstein notes 45 instances where the IA with vav follows a finite verb and continues the preceding verb in a similar way to vav-consecutive forms.³³ According to Waltke and O'Connor, the IA with vav functioning as a verb is similar to its use as an adverbial complement, because in both cases the IA qualifies a leading verb. The distinction is determined

²⁸ Webster, 285.

²⁹ IBHS, 593.

³⁰ Ibid., 594.

³¹ BHRG, 161.

³² Webster, 286-87.

³³ Arie Rubinstein, "A Finite Verb Continued by an Infinitive Absolute in Biblical Hebrew," *Vetus Testamentum* 2, no. 4 (October 1952): 363-64.

by the presence, or absence, of the *vav* conjunction. They write "Without *waw* the infinitive is adverbial, qualifying the same situation as the verb; with *waw* the infinitive is used as a finite verb and represents a situation subordinate to the leading verb."³⁴

The IA in BH is also able to be used in place of non-finite verbs. These verbal nouns are able to take the place of participles as well as infinitive constructs. When the IA takes the place of a participle, its function is similar to that of an adverbial-accusative. The IA appears to be used as a participle more clearly in a verbless clause (Ezek. 1:14) or in a mixture of infinitives and participles (Esth. 8:8; Jer. 7:18). How an IA is used as an infinitive construct can be determined by the presence or absence of a *vav* conjunction. An IA without *vav* may demonstrate the infinitive construct's use as a verbal complement (Isa. 42:24). With a *vav* conjunction the IA will have the same use as a preceding infinitive construct (Exod. 32:6; 1 Sam. 22:13).³⁵

According to van der Merwe et al., the use of the IA as an infinitive construct is rare.³⁶ Webster notes that in many cases containing rare constructions there may be a text critical issue to consider.³⁷

LXX Translations of the IA

Emanuel Tov has done a large amount of work in identifying how IA constructions in the Hebrew Old Testament have been translated into the LXX. In his essay Renderings of Combinations of the Infinitive Absolute and Finite Verbs in the Septuagint—Their Nature and Distribution, he has outlined some of the most common ways the LXX translators attempted to render the IA when it has been paired with a finite verb. Tov used the work of Henry St. John

³⁴ IBHS, 596.

³⁵ Ibid., 597.

³⁶ BHRG, 162.

³⁷ Webster, 288.

Thackeray in his study on the translation of the IA into the LXX. Both Tov and Thackeray agree that an exact equivalent in the Greek is rare.³⁸ The two examples of exact equivalents are Josh. 17:13 and Jer. 44(51):25. It appears as though what Tov defines as an exact equivalent is when the BH text has a paronomastic IA and the LXX uses an infinitive with a finite verb from the same root. The closest rendering outside of the two previous examples is the use of an adverb or an adjective in the LXX to reflect the idea in the Hebrew of the IA as strengthening the finite verb in these paronomastic constructions. These constructions are rare in the LXX, More common constructions in the LXX for the IA construction include a finite verb with a noun in either the dative or accusative (less frequent) cases, or to use a finite verb with participle.³⁹ Typically a cognate noun or participle is used, but occasionally a supplementary or synonymous root will be used. According to Toy, the use of the finite plus cognate noun or participle is the common construction used to translate a paronomastic IA, in order to show the close relationship between the two words. In Greek the constructions using a cognate noun in the dative case may be able to be translated with the glosses "utterly," "fervently," or "earnestly." There are also instances where the paronomastic IA is rendered by a single finite verb. 41 While Tov focused only on the paronomastic use of the IA this work will attempt to look at all possible constructions of the IA in the Pentateuch. Toy's work included the entirety of the OT, whereas this work studies the IA uses in the Pentateuch. The reason for restricting the research to the Pentateuch is to make the study more manageable and because the Pentateuch is considered to be

³⁸ Tov, 247; and Henry St. John Thackeray, A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek According to the Septuagint Vol. 1 (London: Cambridge University Press, 1909), 47.

³⁹ Tov makes no mention to what the cases of the participles were in his paper, however it appears as though the participles are commonly in the nominative case.

⁴⁰ Andreas J. Köstenberger, Benjamin L. Merkle, and Robert L. Plummer, *Going Deeper with New Testament Greek* (Nashville, Tennessee: B&H Academic, 2016), 135.

⁴¹ Tov, 248-49, 51.

a complete unit from an early period of BH, thus making it its own corpus of evidence from which to study the use of the IA from the point of view of linguistic usage.

LXX Translation of the IA in the Pentateuch

As stated earlier, the IA in BH has many different functions and common constructions that help to determine the function of the IA in the passage. The most common construction including the IA is the construction that Tov discusses in his essay, the paronomastic IA. This construction occurs approximately 55% of the time an IA is used; however, in the Pentateuch the paronomastic construction (Section 1a.) accounts for nearly 78% of the uses of the IA. The other 22% is made up of IAs that have been paired with words in non-paronomastic constructions (Section 1b) (2%), Piel and Hifil IAs used as adverbs (Section 1d) (5%), and IAs that stand alone or in pairs in the place of finite and non-finite verbs (Section 1e) (15%).

1a. Paronomastic

Tov's study of the "Infinitive Absolute construction" (paronomastic) seems to be consistent throughout the Pentateuch. There are some instances of the IA that he does not label in his work, possibly due to errors in the software that he used at the time of his study. The most common construction in the LXX to translate the paronomastic IA construction is the dative cognate noun and the finite verb. Tov notes that there are at least 84 uses of this construction in the Pentateuch of the LXX. It appears as though the majority of these uses are translations of the phrase מוֹח יוֹם or instances where there is an IA and an imperfect. The next most common construction of the paronomastic IA is a finite verb and a participle. Tov marks at least 31 instances of this construction. Similar to the dative and finite verb construction, this construction

⁴² Ibid., 253,

seems most often to be the result of an IA with an imperfect. The construction in the BH of the Pentateuch that seems to be the most common is the IA and the imperfect, so the fact that it appears to be the most common source of the most common translations is not surprising.⁴³

2a. Pairings of IAs

Most of the pairings of IAs in the BH of the Pentateuch use π or some other verb of motion. The most common translation of this construction uses a participle of the verb πορευομαι for π and then the other IA is either not translated or a finite verb is used. The number of paired IAs in the Pentateuch is small, since it only accounts for approximately 2% of all of the IAs in the Pentateuch. The small number of uses makes it difficult to draw an accurate conclusion about whether or not this would be a common translation technique for this construction. 44 Examples of this construction include:

Gen 8:3

καὶ ἐνεδίδου τὸ ὕδωρ πορευόμενον ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς, ἐνεδίδου καὶ ἠλαττονοῦτο τὸ ὕδωρ μετὰ πεντήκοντα καὶ ἑκατὸν ἡμέρας.

Gen 8:7

καὶ ἀπέστειλεν τὸν κόρακα τοῦ ἰδεῖν εἰ κεκόπακεν τὸ ὕδωρ, καὶ ἐξελθὸν οὐχ ὑπέστρεψεν ἔως τοῦ ξηρανθῆναι τὸ ὕδωρ ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς.

3a. IAs as Adverbs

In the Hebrew OT, IAs used as adverbs lack the *vav* conjunction. Of the constructions that use IAs that function regularly as adverbs none of them have a *vav* conjunction in front of

⁴³ See appendix 1b (a-l).

⁴⁴ For examples of this construction see appendix 2b (a-c).

the infinitive.⁴⁵ In the Pentateuch two IAs are regularly used as adverbs. The more common word is the Piel IA מהר This word comes from the root מהר which when used with another verb has a strong adverbial force. It is often translated as "hasten" or "quickly" in English.⁴⁶ In passages where this word is used, it is found immediately following the verb that it modifies. This word is usually translated with some form of the word ταχος. This Greek word is an adverb that is commonly used to refer to a short period of time and seems to have a similar semantic range to that of חמהר An example of this may be found in Exodus 32:8.

ָּבֶרוּ <mark>שֹּבֶׁר</mark> מִזְּדִבּנְּרֶהְ אֲשֶׁר צִּוִּיתִּם עְשָׁוּ לָבֶּׁם עָגֶל מַפֶּבֶה וַיִּשְׁתַּתְוּידלוֹ וַיִּזְבְּחוּדלוֹ נַיָּאמְרֹוּ אֵלֶה אֱלֹהֶיךְ יִשְׁרָאֵל אֲשֶׁר הֶעֶלִוּהְ מַאֶרֵץ מִצְרֵים:

παρέβησαν ταχύ ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ, ἦς ἐνετείλω αὐτοῖς, ἐποίησαν ἐαυτοῖς μόσχον καὶ προσκεκυνήκασιν αὐτῷ καὶ τεθύκασιν αὐτῷ καὶ εἶπαν Οὖτοι οἱ θεοί σου, Ισραηλ, οἴτινες ἀνεβίβασάν σε ἐκ γῆς Αἰγύπτου.

There are two instances in the LXX where this word is not translated.

The second word used regularly as an adverb is στης. This word is a Hifil IA and is commonly translated in the LXX as σφόδρα, but can also be translated as ακριβως. The word στης comes from the root στης. In the adverbial sense it carries the meaning of to do something well, or to thoroughly do something. The Greek word σφόδρα has the meaning of doing something to a high extent and may be translated "exceedingly," "greatly," or "violently." The Greek word ακριβως has a meaning that pertains to a strict conformity to a rule or norm, with special attention to detail and completeness. It may be translated as "accurately," "strictly," or

⁴⁵ For examples of the IA as an adverb see appendix 3b (a-g).

⁴⁶ Francis Brown, Samuel Rolles Driver, and Charles Augustus Briggs, *Enhanced Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew and English Lexicon* (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1996), 554-55.

⁴⁷ Johannes P. Louw and Eugene A. Nida, *Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament Based on Semantic Domains*, (New York: United Bible Societies, 1989), 1:643.

⁴⁸ BDB, 405-6.

⁴⁹ Louw and Nida, 1:686.

"diligently."⁵⁰ Similar to מהר, this word follows closely after the verb that it is modifying. Examples of this are:

Deut 13:15

ַנְדַרשָׁהָ וָחָפַרָתָ וְשָׁאַלָתָ מַיְעֶבּ וָהַנָּה אֱמֶת נְכָוֹן הַדְּבָּר נָעֶשְׁתָה הּתוֹעַבָה הַזָּאת בְּקרבָּדְ:

καὶ ἐρωτήσεις καὶ ἐραυνήσεις <mark>σφόδρα</mark>, καὶ ἰδοὺ ἀληθὴς σαφῶς ὁ λόγος, γεγένηται τὸ βδέλυγμα τοῦτο ἐν ὑμῖν,

Deut 19:18

ודרשו השפטים דישב והנה עד־שמר העד שקר ענה כאחיו:

καὶ ἐξετάσωσιν οἱ κριταὶ ἀκριβῶς, καὶ ἰδοὺ μάρτυς ἄδικος ἐμαρτύρησεν ἄδικα, ἀντέστη κατὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ αὐτοῦ,

The fact that these IAs functioning as adverbs have been translated as adverbs helps to point towards the translators of the LXX understanding how these IAs were functioning. It also seems as though the word ממכר is consistently translated with a form of the same word regardless of construction, except for in those instances where it was not translated in the LXX.⁵¹

4a. IAs Individually or in Lists

For instances of the IA used on its own or in a list, it would appear as though the common means of translating these constructions is in line with how the IA is being used in the Hebrew. 52 When the IA has a vav conjunction, begins a clause, and either takes a direct object or has an implied object, then the IA usually continues the preceding verb. The LXX translators seemed to understand this in the same way and often translated these constructions as finite verbs, since the

⁵⁰ Louw and Nida, 1:643.

⁵¹ It is important to note that there are textual variants for the two instances where the IA ממר was not found in the translation of the LXX. These variants may be found in *Codex Alexandrinus*. In these variants the word ממר is translated in the same way as the other instances.

⁵² Examples of this may be found in the appendix.

IA is being used in the place of a finite verb. The other instances where the IA is functioning in the place of a finite verb are also translated as finite verbs in the LXX. Constructions where the IA is asyndetic, begins the clause, is in direct speech, and is part of a command are often translated as imperatives in the Greek, but Thackeray notes that a common construction in the place of an imperative was to use a future indicative.⁵³ The use of the future indicative in place of an imperative occurs at least three times.⁵⁴ There are at least three instances of the IA being used in place of a non-finite verb (Exodus 32:6; Num. 6:5, 23).⁵⁵ In Exodus 32:6 the IA follows an infinitive construct and is thus functioning as an infinitive construct. The LXX translates this as an infinitive.

Exod 32:6

וַיַּשְׁבִּימוֹ מֶפֶחֲרָת וַיַּעֲלְוּ עֹלֹת וַיַּגָשוּ שְׁלָמֵים וַיָּשֶׁב הָעָם לֱאֱבְל וְשְׁחֹוֹ וַיָּקְמוּ לְצַחֲק:

καὶ ὀρθρίσας τῆ ἐπαύριον ἀνεβίβασεν ὁλοκαυτώματα καὶ προσήνεγκεν θυσίαν σωτηρίου, καὶ ἐκάθισεν ὁ λαὸς φαγεῖν καὶ πιεῖν καὶ ἀνέστησαν παίζειν.

In the passages in Numbers the IA is being used in place of a participle and the LXX uses participles to translate them. It would appear as though the LXX translators understood that if an IA is functioning in the place of other verbs, then they should translate the IA as a verb that is similar to how the IA functions in the text.

Conclusion

The IA is one of the most versatile verbal forms in BH. This "verbal noun" can function as a noun, adverb, finite verb, or other non-finite verbs. The constructions containing these verbal forms determines how they are functioning and how they should be translated. In the LXX

⁵³ Thackeray, 194.

⁵⁴ In appendix under IAs Independently or in Lists.

translation of the Pentateuch, the most common use of the IA is paronomastic. According to Tov, the use of the paronomastic IA in Hebrew may be translated several different ways. The most common of these ways is with a finite verb with a dative cognate noun or a participle. This is not an exact translation, but rather it shows the unique relationship between the IA and the main verb that it modifies. There are IAs that have been used in place of adverbs so often that they have essentially become adverbs. These IAs are regularly translated as adverbs. IAs that function in the place of finite and non-finite verbs usually occur on their own or in lists that contain *vav* consecutive forms. If the IA has the *vav*-conjunction, then it usually continues the preceding verbs and is often translated in a manner similar to the preceding verbs, either finite or non-finite. Instances where the IA is asyndetic, begins the clause, takes a direct object, and occurs in direct speech or commands are usually translated as volitional forms, but they may also take the form of a future indicative in the LXX. This knowledge is useful in understanding how the LXX translators made decisions regarding this verbal form and helps the critic decide whether an unexpected translation in the LXX represents a variant reading in the *Vorlage*.

The constructions that may provide the most confusion when attempting to recreate the original text of the Hebrew OT based on the LXX would be the paronomastic construction. In the Pentateuch there were multiple ways this construction was translated, however, if the LXX has a finite verb bracketed by a dative cognate noun or participle there is a large degree of certainty that this was originally a paronomastic IA. The constructions other than the paronomastic seem to be handled consistently throughout the Pentateuch, which increases confidence that, in regards to the IA in these constructions, the text critical issues are not a matter of mistranslation, but rather an issue of transmission errors.

Appendix

1b. Paronomastic⁵⁶

a. Gen 2:16

וַיָצוֹ יָהוָה אֱלֹהִים על־הָאָדָם לַאמֻר מִכָּל עֵץ־הַגָּן אֲכָל הַאֹבֶל:

καὶ ἐνετείλατο κύριος ὁ θεὸς τῷ Αδαμ λέγων Ἀπὸ παντὸς ξύλου τοῦ ἐν τῷ <mark>παραδείσῳ βρώσει</mark> φάγη,

Qal IA and Qal impf.

Finite Verb (future, middle, indicative) with a noun in the dative (non-cognate)

b. Gen 2:17

וּמְעָץ הַרָּעַת טוֹב וַרָע לָא תאבל מְמֵנוּ כִּי בְּיֵוֹם אַכְלְדָּ מְמֵנוּ מוֹת חַמְוֹת.

άπὸ δὲ τοῦ ζύλου τοῦ γινώσκειν καλὸν καὶ πονηρόν, οὐ φάγεσθε άπ' αὐτοῦ, ἦ δ' ἂν ἡμέρα φάγητε ἀπ' αὐτοῦ, <mark>θανάτω ἀποθανεῖσθε</mark>.

Qal IA and Qal impf.

Finite verb (future, middle, indicative) with a noun in the dative (cognate)

c. Gen 3:16

אַל־הָאשָה אָמַר <mark>הַרְבָּה אַרְבָּה</mark> עַצָּבוֹנָה וְהָרוֹנֶה בְּעֵצָב הַלְדִי בָנִים וְאַל־אִישׁרּ תְּשִׁיקּמָה וְהָוֹא יִמְשְׁל־בָּהְ: ס

καὶ τῆ γυναικὶ εἶπεν Πληθύνων πληθυνώ τὰς λύπας σου καὶ τὸν στεναγμόν σου, ἐν λύπαις τέξη τέκνα, καὶ πρὸς τὸν ἄνδρα σου ἡ ἀποστροφή σου, καὶ αὐτός σου κυριεύσει.

Hifil IA + Hifil impf.

Finite (Future active indicative) +Participle

d. Gen 8:7

וַיִשַּׁלָּח אָת־הָעֹרֶב וּוֹצֵא יַצוֹא וַשׁוֹב עַד־יִבְשָׁת הַמַּיִם מֶעַל הָאָרְץ:

καὶ ἀπέστειλεν τὸν κόρακα τοῦ ίδεῖν εἰ κεκόπακεν τὸ ὕδωρ, καὶ ἐξελθὼν οὐχ ὑπέστρεψεν ἔως τοῦ ξηρανθῆναι τὸ ὕδωρ ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς.

Qal pret. + Qal IA

Paronomastic construction as a participle only (Aorist, active)

⁵⁶ The paronomastic IA examples are all from Genesis, because constructions that are very similar can be found in each of the other books. Genesis provides examples of all of the constructions that Tov notes in his essay; therefore it is unnecessary to give examples from all of the books in the Pentateuch. All Hebrew texts are from *Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia* and all Greek texts are from *Septuaginta*.

e. Gen 18:10

ַניּאמֶר שוֹב אַשִּׁיב אַלִּיךּ כָּעֵת סַיָּה וָהַנַּה־בָּן לְשַׁרָה אִשְׁתַּךּ וְשַׁרָה שׁמַעַת פֶּתַח הָאָהֶל וְהִוּא אַחָרְיוּ

εἶπεν δέ Επαναστρέφων ήξω πρὸς σὲ κατὰ τὸν καιρὸν τοῦτον εἰς ὅρας, καὶ ἕξει υἱὸν Σαρρα ἡ γυνή σου. Σαρρα δὲ ἤκουσεν πρὸς τῆ θύρα τῆς σκηνῆς, οὖσα ὅπισθεν αὐτοῦ.

Qal IA + Qal impf.

Finite verb (future, active, indicative) + participle of different verb (Present, active)

f. Gen 19:9

ַרַיּאמָרָוּ גָּשׁ־הָּלְאָה וַיְּאמָרוּ הָאֶחָד בֵּא־לָגוּר <mark>וַיִּשְׁפָּט שְׁפֿוֹט</mark> עַמֶּה נָרַע לְדָּ מָהֶם וַיִּפְצְרוּ בָאִישׁ בְּלוֹטֹ מְאֹד וַיִּגְּשָׁוּ לְשְׁבְּר בַּדְלַת:

εἶπαν δέ Ἀπόστα ἐκεῖ. εἶς ἦλθες παροικεῖν, μὴ καὶ <mark>κρίσιν κρίνειν</mark>; νῦν οὖν σὲ κακώσομεν μᾶλλον ἢ ἐκείνους. καὶ παρεβιάζοντο τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν Λωτ σφόδρα καὶ ἤγγισαν συντρῖψαι τὴν θύραν.

Qal pret. + Qal IA

Non-finite (present, active infinitive) + accusative noun

g. Gen 20:7

: ןעַהָּה הָשֵׁב אֵשֶׁת־הָאִישׁ כֵּי־נָבִיא הֹוּא וְיִתְפַּלֵל בַּעַדְהַ וְחָיֵה וְאִם־אֵינָהְ מִשִּׁיב זָדע כִּי־<mark>מְּוֹת תַּמֹוּת</mark> אַתָּה וְכָל־אָשֶׁר־לֵהְ: νῦν δὲ ἀπόδος τὴν γυναῖκα τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ, ὅτι προφήτης ἐστὶν καὶ προσεύζεται περὶ σοῦ καὶ ζήση, εἰ δὲ μὴ ἀποδίδως, γνῶθι ὅτι ἀποθανης σὸ καὶ πάντα τὰ σά.

Qal IA + Qal impf.

Finite verb only (future, middle, indicative)

h. Gen 26:11

ניצו אַבִימֹלָהְ אַת־כַּל־הַעָם לָאמָר הַנֹגֵע בַּאִישׁ הַזָּה וּבַאִשְׁתּוֹ מוֹת יומת:

συνέταξεν δὲ Αβιμελεχ παντὶ τῷ λαῷ αὐτοῦ λέγων Πᾶς ὁ ἀπτόμενος τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τούτου ἢ τῆς γυναικὸς αὐτοῦ <mark>θανάτου ἔνοχος ἔσται</mark>.

Qal IA + Hophal impf.

Three words used for IA genitive noun, adjective, finite verb (future, middle, indicative) (Tov labels IAC as finite verb only)

i. Gen 26:13

ניגבל הַאֵּישׁ בִילָּהְ הַלּוֹהְ וָגַּדְׁל עַד כֵּי־גַדָל מָאָד:

καὶ ὑψώθη ὁ ἄνθρωπος καὶ προβαίνων μείζων ἐγίνετο, ἔως οδ μέγας ἐγένετο σφόδρα,

Qal pret. + Qal IA (hlk)

Present, active participle + Adj. + finite verb (impf., middle, indicative)

j. Gen 28:22

וָהָאֶבֶן הַוֹּאֹת אֲשֶׁר־שַּׁמְתִּוֹ מַצֶּבָה יִהְיָה בֵּית אֱלֹהֵים וְכֹלֹ אֲשֶׁר תִּתֶּו־לִי עֲשֵׁר אַעְשְׁרָנוּ לֶךְ:

καὶ ὁ λίθος οὖτος, δν ἔστησα στήλην, ἔσται μοι οἶκος θεοῦ, καὶ πάντων, ὧν ἐάν μοι δῷς, δεκάτην ἀποδεκατώσω αὐτά σοι.

Piel IA + Piel impf.

Adjective (accusative) + finite verb (future, active, indicative).

k. Gen 32:13

וַאַמָה אַמַּרתַ דּישָׁב איטיב עמַד וַשְּׁמְתִּי אַת־זַרְעַדּ כְּחוֹל הַיַּב אַשֶׁר לא־יִסְבֶּר מֶרְב:

σὺ δὲ εἶπας Καλῶς εὖ σε ποιήσω καὶ θήσω τὸ σπέρμα σου ὡς τὴν ἄμμον τῆς θαλάσσης, ἡ οὺκ ἀριθμηθήσεται ἀπὸ τοῦ πλήθους.

Hifil IA + Hifil impf.

Finite Verb (Future, active, indicative) + Adverb

1. Gen 37:33

ניַבּירָה ניאמר בּתִנת בּנִי שיֵה רעה אַכְלַתָּהוּ שַּרְף שֹׁהַף יוֹסֵף:

καὶ ἐπέγνω αὐτὸν καὶ εἶπεν Χιτὼν τοῦ υἰοῦ μού ἐστιν, θηρίον πονηρὸν κατέφαγεν αὐτόν, θηρίον ἤρπασεν τὸν Ιωσηφ.

Qal IA + Pual pf.

Finite (agrist, active, indicative) + different noun in the accusative

2b. Non-Paronomastic Pairing

a. Gen 8:5

וַהַפַּיִם הַיוֹ <mark>הַלָּוֹה וַחַסוֹר</mark> עד הַחָדָשׁ הַעְשִירִי בַּעֲשִירִי בָּאַחַד לְחֹדָשׁ נַרְאוּ רַאשִׁי הָהַרִים:

τὸ δὲ ὕδωρ πορευόμενον ήλαττονοῦτο ἔως τοῦ δεκάτου μηνός, ἐν δὲ τῷ ἑνδεκάτῳ μηνί, τῆ πρώτη τοῦ μηνός, ὤφθησαν αἱ κεφαλαὶ τῶν ὀρέων. —

b. Gen 12:9

ניפע אבלם הלוד ונסוע הנגבה:

καὶ ἀπῆρεν Αβραμ καὶ πορευθείς ἐστρατοπέδευσεν ἐν τῇ ἐρήμφ.

c. Deut 9:21

וֶאֶת־חַטַּאתָכֶּם אֲשֶׁר־צְשִׂיתָם אֶת־הָעַּגֶל לְקַחָתִּי ׁ נָאֶשְׂרָף אֹתוֹן בָּאֵשׁ וָאֶכֵּת אֹתוֹ <mark>טְחוֹן הַיטֹב</mark> עַד אֲשֶׁר־דָּק לְעָפֶר וָאַשְׁלֹּהְּ אֶת־ עָבָרוֹ אַל־הַנָּחַל הַיֹּרֶד מִן־הָהָר:

καὶ τὴν ἀμαρτίαν ὑμῶν, ῆν ἐποιήσατε, τὸν μόσχον, ἔλαβον αὐτὸν καὶ κατέκαυσα αὐτὸν ἐν πυρὶ καὶ συνέκοψα αὐτὸν καταλέσας σφόδρα, ἕως οὖ ἐγένετο λεπτόν, καὶ ἐγενήθη ώσεὶ κονιορτός, καὶ ἔρριψα τὸν κονιορτὸν εἰς τὸν χειμάρρουν τὸν καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ ὅρους.

3b. IAs Regularly Used as Adverbs

a. Deut 7:4

בָּי־יַסִיר אַת־בָּנָה' מֵאַחַבֹּי וְעַבָּדָוּ אַלֹהֵים אַתַבִים וָתַרָה אַף־יַהוַה בָּבֶׁם וָהַשְׁמִידָה בְּיַה

άποστήσει γὰρ τὸν υἱόν σου ἀπ' ἐμοῦ, καὶ λατρεύσει θεοῖς ἐτέροις, καὶ ὀργισθήσεται θυμῷ κύριος εἰς ὑμᾶς καὶ ἐξολεθρεύσει σε τὸ τάχος.

b. Deut 9:3

וְנִדעָתָ הַיּוֹם כִּיֹּ יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיה הָוּא־הָעֹבֶר לְפָנֶידְּ אֲשׁ אְכְלָה הָוּא יַשְׁמִיבֶם וְהָוּא יַכְנִיעֵם לְפָנֵידְ וְהְוֹרַשְׁתָּם וְהָאֵבַדְתָּם <mark>מְסֹׁהְּ</mark> פּאַעֵּר דְּבֵּר יִתוָה לַדְּ:

καὶ γνώση σήμερον ὅτι κύριος ὁ θεός σου, οὖτος προπορεύεται πρὸ προσώπου σου, πῦρ καταναλίσκον ἐστίν, οὖτος ἐξολεθρεύσει αὐτούς, καὶ οὖτος ἀποστρέψει αὐτοὺς ἀπὸ προσώπου σου, καὶ ἀπολεῖς αὐτούς, καθάπερ εἶπέν σοι κύριος.

c. Deut 9:16

ָנָאַרָא וְהַגָּה חָטָאתֶם לִיהוָה אֱלְהֵילֶם עֲשֹׁיתָם לְלָם עָגֶל מַפָּבָה סְרָתָּם <mark>מְּלֶּד</mark> מְּן־הַנֶּרָךְ אֲשֶׁר־צָּוָה יְהוָה אַתְּבֶם:

καὶ ἰδὼν ὅτι ἡμάρτετε ἐναντίον κυρίου τοῦ θεοῦ ὑμῶν καὶ ἐποιήσατε ὑμῖν ἑαυτοῖς χωνευτὸν καὶ παρέβητε ἀπὸ τῆς ὁδοῦ, ἦς ἐνετείλατο ὑμῖν κύριος,

d. Deut 27:8

וְכָּחַבְהַ עַלִּ־הָאַבָנִים אֱת־כָּלִ־דְּבָרֵי הַתּוֹרֶה הַוָּאַת בַּאֵר הַּיְטַבּ:

καὶ γράψεις ἐπὶ τῶν λίθων πάντα τὸν νόμον τοῦτον σαφῶς σφόδρα.

e. Gen 21:16

f. Exod 33:7

וּמֹשֶׁה יָפֶּׁת אֶת־הָאָהֶל וְגָטָה־לוַו מְחָוּץ לַמְּחָנֶּה <mark>בֹּרְחַל</mark> מְן־הַמְּחָנֶּה וָקָרָא לוֹ אָהֶל מוֹעֵד וְהָיָהֹ כְּל־מְכַקֵּשׁ יְהנְה יֵצֵאֹ אֶל־ אָהַל מוֹעָד אַשֵּׁר מְחִוּץ לַמְּחָנָה:

Καὶ λαβὼν Μωυσῆς τὴν σκηνὴν αὐτοῦ ἔπηξεν ἔξω τῆς παρεμβολῆς μακρὰν ἀπὸ τῆς παρεμβολῆς, καὶ ἐκλήθη σκηνὴ μαρτυρίου, καὶ ἐγένετο πᾶς ὁ ζητῶν κύριον ἐξεπορεύετο εἰς τὴν σκηνὴν ἔξω τῆς παρεμβολῆς.

g. Exod 30:36

ן שֲחַקְתַּ מִמֶּנָה ֹ תְּתָה מְמָּנָה לְפָנֵי הָעָדָת בְּאָהֶל מוֹעַּד אָשֶׁר אִנַּצִד לְדָּ שֲמָה לְדָשׁ בֻדְשָׁים תִּהְיָה לְבֶם: καὶ συγκόψεις ἐκ τούτων <mark>λεπτόν</mark> καὶ θήσεις ἀπέναντι τῶν μαρτυρίων ἐν τῆ σκηνῆ τοῦ μαρτυρίου, ὅθεν γνωσθήσομαί σοι ἐκεῖθεν, ἄγιον τῶν ἀγίων ἔσται ὑμῖν.

4b, IAs Independently or in Lists

a. Gen 41:43

וַיַּרְבָּב אֹתוֹ בָּמִרְבֶּבֶת הַמִּשְׁנָה אֲשֶׁר־לוֹ וַיִּקְרְאָוּ לְפָנֵיו אַכְרֵךְ וְנְמִוֹן אֹתוֹ עֵל כָּל־אָרִץ מְצְרֵיִם:

καὶ ἀνεβίβασεν αὐτὸν ἐπὶ τὸ ἄρμα τὸ δεύτερον τῶν αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐκήρυξεν ἔμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ κῆρυξ, καὶ κατέστησεν αὐτὸν ἐφ' ὅλης γῆς Αἰγύπτου.

b. Exod 8:11

ַניַרָא פּרְעָה כָּי הֵיִתָהֹ הָרְנָחָה וֹהַכְבֵּזֹ אֶת־לְבֿו וְלָא שָׁמַע אֲלַהֶם כַּאֲשֶׁר דְּבָּר יְהוֶה:

ίδων δὲ Φαραω ὅτι γέγονεν ἀνάψυξις, ἐβαρύνθη ἡ καρδία αὐτοῦ, καὶ οὐκ εἰσήκουσεν αὐτῶν, καθάπερ ἐλάλησεν κύριος.

c. Lev 25:14

וָבִי־תַמְבֶּרָוּ מְמְבֶּרָ לַעֲמִיתָּךְ אוֹ 🚮 מַיֵּד עַמִיתָךְ אַל־תּוֹנָוּ אֵישׁ אַת־אַחִיו:

έὰν δὲ ἀποδῷ πρᾶσιν τῷ πλησίον σου ἐὰν καὶ κτήση παρὰ τοῦ πλησίον σου, μὴ θλιβέτω ἄνθρωπος τὸν πλησίον,

d. Num 20:26

ָרָבֶּעָשָׁט אֶת־אַהָרוֹן אֶת־בָּגָּדִיו וָהַלְבַּשְׁתָּם אֶת־אֶלְעָזֶר בְּגָו וָאַהָרוֹן יַאָסֵף וּמֶת שֶׁם:

καὶ ἔκδυσον Ααρων τὴν στολὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔνδυσον Ελεαζαρ τὸν υίὸν αὐτοῦ, καὶ Ααρων προστεθεὶς ἀποθανέτω ἐκεῖ.

e. Deut 14:21

לָא תאכְלָוּ כֶל־נְּבֶלָה לַגֵּר אָשֶׁר־בִּשְׁעָרָידְ תִּתְּנָה וַאָּכֶלָה אָוֹ <mark>שְּׁכֹל</mark> לְנָכְרִי כִּי עָם קְדוֹשׁ אַמָּה לֵיהוָה אֶלֹהֵידְ לְא־תְבֹשֵׁל גְּדֶי בַּחָלָב אָמָּו:

πᾶν θνησιμαῖον οὐ φάγεσθε, τῷ παροίκῳ τῷ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσίν σου δοθήσεται, καὶ φάγεται, ἢ ἀποδώση τῷ ἀλλοτρίῳ, ὅτι λαὸς ἄγιος εἶ κυρίῳ τῷ θεῷ σου. — οὐχ ἑψήσεις ἄρνα ἐν γάλακτι μητρὸς αὐτοῦ.

aa. Gen 17:10

זאת בַּרִיתִّי אָשֶׁר תִּשִׁמְרוּ בִּינֵי וּבִינֵיכֶם וּבִין זַרְעַהְ אַחֲרֵיהְ הַמְּוֹלְ לַבֶּם כַּל־זַבָר:

καὶ αὕτη ἡ διαθήκη, ἢν διατηρήσεις, ἀνὰ μέσον ἐμοῦ καὶ ὑμῶν καὶ ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ σπέρματός σου μετὰ σὲ εἰς τὰς γενεὰς αὐτῶν, περιτμηθήσεται ὑμῶν πᾶν ἀρσενικόν,

bb. Exod 13:3

ויֹאמֶר מֹשָׁה אֶל־הָעָּׁם <mark>נְבֿוֹר</mark> אֶת־כּיָוֹם כּזָה אָשֶׁר יְצָאתָם מִמְּצְרַיִם מְבֵּית עֲבָלִים כֵּי בְּחָזֶק יָד הוֹצְיא יְחָנָה אֶתְּכֶם מְזֶה וְלְא יַאֵּבָל חַמֵּץ:

Εἶπεν δὲ Μωυσῆς πρὸς τὸν λαόν Μνημονεύετε τὴν ἡμέραν ταύτην, ἐν ἡ ἐξήλθατε ἐκ γῆς Αἰγύπτου ἐξ οἴκου δουλείας, ἐν γὰρ χειρὶ κραταιᾳ ἐξήγαγεν ὑμᾶς κύριος ἐντεῦθεν, καὶ οὐ βρωθήσεται ζύμη.

cc. Lev 2:6

בַּתְוֹת אֹתָהֹ פַּתִּים וְיָצקתָ עָלֻיהָ אֲמֶן מִנְחָה הָוא:

καὶ διαθρύψεις αὐτὰ κλάσματα καὶ ἐπιχεεῖς ἐπ' αὐτὰ ἔλαιον, θυσία ἐστὶν κυρίω. —

dd. Num 4:2

אַבֿתָם: בָּנִי קָהָת מָתּוֹף בָּנֵי לְנֵי לְמִשְׁפָּחֹתָם לְבֵית אֲבֹתַם:

Λαβε τὸ κεφάλαιον τῶν υίῶν Κααθ ἐκ μέσου υίῶν Λευι κατὰ δήμους αὐτῶν κατ' οἴκους πατριῶν αὐτῶν

ee. Deut 5:12

שַׁמֶּוֹר אֶת־יָוֹם בַשׁבָּת לְקַרְשָׁו כַּאֲשֶׁר צִּוְדָן יְהָוָה

φύλαζαι την ημέραν τῶν σαββάτων ἁγιάζειν αὐτήν, δν τρόπον ἐνετείλατό σοι κύριος ὁ θεός σου.

ff. Num 6:5

: είναι τος της της της τος της τος τος αγνισμού ξυρόν ούκ έπελεύσεται έπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν αὐτοῦ, κάσας τὰς ἡμέρας τῆς εὐχῆς τοῦ ἀγνισμοῦ ξυρόν οὐκ ἐπελεύσεται ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν αὐτοῦ, ἔως ὰν πληρωθῶσιν αὶ ἡμέραι, ὅσας ηὕξατο κυρίω, ἄγως ἔσται τρέφων κόμην τρίχα κεφαλῆς.

gg. Num 6:23

τως καὶ επιθήσουσιν τὸ ὄνομά μου ἐπὶ τοὺς υἰοὺς Ισραηλ, καὶ ἐγὰν κύριος εὐλογήσετε τοὺς νίοὺς Ισραηλ <mark>λέγοντες</mark> αὐτοῖς (καὶ ἐπιθήσουσιν τὸ ὄνομά μου ἐπὶ τοὺς υἰοὺς Ισραηλ, καὶ ἐγὰ κύριος εὐλογήσω αὐτούς)

Bibliography

- Brown, Francis, Samuel Rolles Driver, and Charles Augustus Briggs. *Enhanced Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew and English Lexicon*. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson. 1996.
- Joüon, Paul and Takamitsu Muraoka. A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew: Revised English Edition.
 Rome: Editrice Pontificio Intituto Biblico. 2006.
- Kittel, Rudolph, Wilhelm Rudolph, Karl Elliger, Hans Peter Rüger, and Gérard Emmanuel Weil. Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1997.
- Köstenberger, Andreas J., Benjamin L. Merkle, and Robert L. Plummer. *Going Deeper with New Testament Greek*. Nashville, Tennessee: B&H Academic. 2016.
- Louw, Johannes P. and Eugene A. Nida. *Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament Based on Semantic Domains*. New York: United Bible Societies. 1989.
- Rahlfs, Alfred. Septuaginta Vol. 1. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1982.
- Rubinstein, Arie. "A Finite Verb Continued by an Infinitive Absolute in Biblical Hehrew." Vetus Testamentum 2, no. 4 (October 1952): 362-67.
- Thackeray, Henry St. John. A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek According to the Septuagint Vol. 1. London: Cambridge University Press, 1909.
- Tov, Emanuel. "Renderings of Combinations of the Infinitive Absolute and Finite Verbs in the Septuagint—Their Nature and Distribution." In *The Greek and Hebrew Bible: Collected Essays on the Septuagint Vol. 72*, 247-256. Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill, 1999.
- van der Merwe, Christo H.J., Jackie A. Naudé, and Jan H. Kroeze. *A Biblical Hebrew Reference Grammar*. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press, 1999.
- Waltke, Bruce K., and M. O'Connor, An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990.

- Webster, Brian L. *The Cambridge Introduction to Biblical Hebrew*. Cambridge, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Wegner, Paul D. A Student's Guide to Textual Criticism of the Bible: Its History, Methods, and Results. Downers Grove, IN: InterVarsity Press, 2006.