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Whenever a constant current electrolysis is performed without stirring, the potentials of the electrodes change with time because the concentrations change at the surfaces of the electrodes. The principle of chronopotentiometry is based upon the idea that since the current is kept constant, the electrolysis proceeds at a constant rate. When the electrolytic circuit is closed, the concentrations of the substances taking part in the electrochemical reaction at the surface of the electrode start to vary. The electrode takes up the equilibrium potential as given by the Nernst equation provided the reaction is reversible. If the transport of the substances is fast enough, the variations only occur for a certain period, after which a steady state is reached, and the electrode potential becomes constant. In the absence of convection, the transport is entirely due to diffusion. Since the transport is not
enough to compensate for the decrease in concentration at the surface of the electrode, this concentration decreases until it reaches zero. The electrode potential, which is given by the Nernst equation changes abruptly when the concentration becomes zero. The time at which the abrupt change in the potential occurs is known as the transition time or \( t \) (Ref. 1 - pp. 314-315). Through the use of Fick's Law of Diffusion, the \( k \) and equation, which provided the basis for the work explained in this paper, can be derived:

\[ i \tau = k \bar{C} \]

where \( i \) is the current in amperes, \( \tau \) is the transition time, \( \bar{C} \) is the concentration of the solution being electrolyzed, and the \( k \) term is dependent upon the diffusion coefficient of the electroactive species.

The apparatus used consists of an approximate constant current source consisting of a high voltage source in series with high resistance, an automatic recorder, and an electrolytic cell.
which consists of a working electrode, auxiliary and reference electrodes, a nitrogen bubbler, a dropper and bulb, and a constant temperature tank. The auxiliary electrode, a strip of platinum wire, is isolated from the rest of the cell. The working electrode is a flat electrode which contains a platinum disc. Hydrogen or oxygen is evolved at the platinum electrode. These gases would probably interfere with the operation of the working electrode if a one compartment cell were used (Ref. 2 - p. 395). The potential of the working electrode is measured with respect to the reference electrode (mercurous electrode), and the auxiliary electrode completes the circuit (Ref. 2 - p. 391). The supporting electrolyte used in this instance is hydrochloric acid. Dissolved oxygen may be reduced, and its presence generally makes it difficult to study cathode phenomena. The solution is freed from oxygen by bubbling an inert gas (nitrogen) through it (Ref. 1 - p. 140). The dropper and bulb are used to remove air at the surface of the working electrode, and
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the constant temperature tank is used as a temperature control for solutions of varying formalities because the diffusion coefficient, which is a vital part of the Nernst equation, is temperature dependent.

Through the study of various reactions and through the study of the chemical properties of various elements, one can predict the most probable electrolytic reaction. A chronopotentiogram of copper was made as a preliminary test determination. As indicated in graph I, which is the result of the copper determination, the reaction proceeds in two steps. The equations explaining the two steps of the graph are probably:

\[
\begin{align*}
Cu^{++} + e^- & \rightarrow Cu^+ \\
Cu^+ + e^- & \rightarrow Cu^0 \\
Cu^{++} + 2e^- & \rightarrow Cu^0
\end{align*}
\]

At the outset of this experiment, the theoretical prediction was that a bismuth solution would electrolyze in one step to form bismuth metal:

\[
Bi^{+++} + 3e^- \rightarrow Bi^0
\]

The succeeding data indicated that the theoretical prediction is correct. Graph II shows the one break in the curve of 2.005 F Bi+++ solution indicating a one-step reduction process.

Before the Nernst equation could be applied
Graph I.

This graph illustrates the two breaks in the curve when Cu^{4+} is converted to Cu^0 by electrolytical methods.
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to the graphs, a method for the calculation of \( I \) values had to be found. There are many accepted methods which are employed for the calculation of \( I \) values, but the one that gave the best results in this experiment was that of locating the steepest inflection point as indicated in graph 9.

Generally, \( I \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) values were constant for solutions of the same formality. This is indicated by the following values:

\( I \) is in inches of chart paper. These are the most convenient units since the recorder was preset to give representations of 5 inches of chart paper per minute. Thus, each inch represents twelve seconds.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{i} (\text{in mamps}) \\
1.27 \times 10^3 \\
1.27 \times 10^3 \\
1.80 \times 10^3 \\
1.80 \times 10^3 \\
9.00 \times 10^2 \\
2.54 \times 10^3 \\
2.54 \times 10^3 \\
1.291 \times 10^3 \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
i \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (\text{mamps inches}^2) \\
2.1 \times 10^3 \\
2.2 \times 10^3 \\
2.2 \times 10^3 \\
2.2 \times 10^3 \\
2.3 \times 10^3 \\
2.3 \times 10^3 \\
2.3 \times 10^3 \\
\end{array} \]
Graph II. One-Step $\text{Bi}^{4+} + 3e^- \rightarrow \text{Bi}^0$
Calculation of \( T \)

This is the method used in this experiment,
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0.01 F pol. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(i) (in mamps)</th>
<th>(i \cdot c^2) (mamps inches^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.291 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.291 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9.012 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average deviation is 4%.

0.005 F pol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(i) (in mamps)</th>
<th>(i \cdot c^2) (mamps inches^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.282 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>(1.26 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.282 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>(1.25 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.282 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>(1.25 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9.110 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>(1.24 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9.110 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>(1.24 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6.580 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>(1.23 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6.580 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>(1.25 \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average deviation is 8%.

\(i \cdot c^2 = \frac{4}{3}c\) does not apply exactly to this experiment. For the 0.01 F solution \(c = 2.2 \times 10^5\) for the 0.005 F solution \(c = 2.5 \times 10^5\). This indicates a 15% deviation which, although is not good, is not too unreasonable.
There appeared rather irregular graphs for the .001 F solution. It was mentioned earlier in the paper that the evolution of hydrogen often interferes with the operation of the working electrode so that accurate indication of the reaction involved in the electrolysis can not be determined. This is particularly evident with the .001 F solution. The irregular waves as indicated in graph IV. are possibly results of high hydrogen production. This might also account for the non-application of a \( j_t^2 = \kappa c \) to the .001 F solution. Values for the .001 F sol. are

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{\( i \) (in \text{ mamps})} & \text{\( \kappa c^2 \) (\text{mamps} \ \text{in}^2)} \\
\hline
2.355 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
2.065 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
4.730 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
4.730 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
6.590 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
2.363 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
2.363 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
1.700 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
1.700 \times 10^2 & 2.35 \times 10^2 \\
\end{array}
\]

If only the first four values are considered, \( \kappa = 2.4 \times 10^5 \) which is fairly in accord with the other two values \( (2.2 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 2.5 \times 10^5) \). However, if all of the values are considered there is a 22\% deviation between the individual values of \( \kappa c^2 \). The average \( \kappa \) value is \( 2.6 \times 10^5 \) which also falls within the range of the other values. There is a 17\% average deviation between these three \( \kappa \) values \( (3.2 \times 10^5, \ 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 2.6 \times 10^5) \).

If the only processes are the plating and the dissolving of bismuth and if the current is reversed
Graph IV. 1001 E Solution

\[ i = 4.28 \times 10^{-5} \text{ A} \]
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before the transition time, the time required to strip the deposit should equal the deposition time. Then a graph of plating time versus stripping time should increase linearly with a slope of 1 (one), going through the origin. In order to test the validity of this assumption, determinations were made of stripping times at various plating times. The results of these determinations are given in graph II.

It was indicated in the references cited earlier that excess oxidation of the platinum electrode deposits a thin film of platinum oxide on the electrode. As a result, part of the succeeding T value would result from the stripping of platinum oxide as well as from the deposition of bismuth. An experiment was performed which was designed to determine the effects of oxidation on the electrode for varying lengths of time. The oxidation was allowed to proceed to .11V, .58V, .68V, 1.04V, and 1.06V. Then the stripping time for the succeeding determination was made. In each instance, a constant plating time of three inches or 36 seconds was used as the control. If the oxidation had had no effect then the stripping time would have been 3 inches or 36 seconds in each instance. Below are the results of this experiment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Stripping Time for Succeeding Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.11V</td>
<td>2.750''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.58V</td>
<td>2.399''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.68V</td>
<td>2.800''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04V</td>
<td>2.000''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.06V</td>
<td>1.850''</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graph V. Plating Time vs Stripping Time

Plating Times and Stripping Times are in inches of check paper.
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This indicates that oxidation of the platinum electrode possibly causes invalid results to be obtained. This might account partially for the slight discrepancy in the $iC^{1/2}$ $kC$ determinations.

Summary

1. $iC^{1/2} = kC$ (According to determinations made in this paper) does not apply to this reaction: $Bi^{2+} + 3e^- \rightarrow Bi^0$.

2. Oxidation of the platinum electrode affects the determination of $C$ values.

3. High hydrogen production affects the results.

4. A graph of plating time vs stripping time increases linearly.
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