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Natural radioactive decay provides a means of determining the age of geological specimens. It is found that the number of atoms of a radioactive isotope disintegrating per unit time is linearly proportional to the existing number of atoms and independent of exterior parameters. This may be expressed by the differential equation:

$$
d N / d t=-\lambda N
$$

where N is the number of atoms present and $\lambda$ is the decay constant ( $0.693 / t_{\frac{3}{2}}$ ). Integration of this expression yIelds:

$$
N=N_{0} e^{-\lambda\left(t-f_{0}\right)}
$$

where $N_{0}$ is the number of atoms at to, and $N$ is the number of atoms att time t.

One natural radioactive series of interest in deep sea dating is the $\mathrm{J}^{238}$ series.



Applying knowledge of radioactive decay to this series will allow determination of geological ages.

The time span $\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ is the time elapsed since the parent isotope was trapped in ocean sediments or corals. This will allow the time the sediment or coral material was formed to be determined.

Two parameters must be known to be able to determine an age. One parameter is connected with the time of formation of the investigated material and the other with the present time. In simple cases these parameters are $N_{0}$, the number of atoms present $t$ years ago, and $N_{1}$, the number of atoms present now. The first parameter must be determined indirect means. The second, however, $1 s$ measured directly. Part of the inaccuracy in age determination is due to the indirect measurements. Another problem is due to the fact that in determining the number of atoms of an isotope present we must relate this number to a volume or a mass. Since in sediment the concentration of radioactive species is determined by rate of sedimentation as well as decay, another source of error 1 s present.

There are three basic methods of age determination. The first deals with two radioactive nuclıdes an equilibrium. We have already determined that the first species's behavior can be described by the equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d N_{1} / d t=-\lambda_{1} N_{1} \\
\text { or } N_{1}=N_{1}^{0} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}
\end{gathered}
$$

The first species decays at a rate $\lambda_{j} N_{j}$. The daughter species is formed at the rate the parent decays, $\lambda_{1} N_{1}$, and itself decays at a rate $\lambda_{2} N_{2^{\circ}}$
We can say:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d N_{2} / d t=\lambda_{1} N_{1}-\lambda_{2} N_{2} \\
\text { or } \quad d N_{2} / d t+\lambda_{2} N_{2}-\lambda_{1} N_{1}^{0} e^{-\lambda t}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Solution of this differential equation yields:

$$
N_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} N_{1}\left(e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right)+N_{2}^{0} e^{-\lambda_{2} t}
$$

Since we assume no daughter present initially we may neglect the last term leaving

$$
N_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} N \cdot\left(e^{-\lambda_{1} T}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right)
$$

If $\lambda_{1} \perp$ s much smaller than $\lambda_{2}, N_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ and the equation may be approximated:

$$
N_{2}=N_{1} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right)
$$

which gives the formula for age as:

$$
t=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} \ln \left(1-\frac{N_{2}^{x} \lambda_{2}}{N_{1} \lambda_{1}}\right)
$$



Age determination with the aid of the ratio of two radioactive elements from the same series where the decay constant of the daughter product is large compared with the decay constant of the parent element. The ordinate gives the ratio of activities of the two radio -elements. The abscissa gives the time scale in non-dimenszonal units as multiples of mean lifetime.

If, however, $\lambda_{1}$ is much larger than $\lambda_{2}$, the equation is approximated
by:

$$
N_{2}=N_{1}^{0}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{1} t}\right)
$$

Since $N_{0}^{0}=N_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} t}$, the formula for age is:


Age determination using the ratio of two elements from radioactive
series for the case whenthe decay constant of the patent element is much larger than the decay constant of the daughter element. The ordinate gives the ratio of the two radioisotopes. The abscissa gives the time scale in non -dimensional units as multiples of mean lifetime.

The second method is one where two isotopes of the same element decay to form two distinctive daughters. The ratio of the isotopes can then be used for age determination. The ratio of the parent isotopes must be constant in nature. The decay of each is expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}^{t}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} N_{1}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right) \\
& A \sim d \\
& N_{4}^{t}=\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{4}} N_{3}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{4} t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The ratio of the two yields:

$$
\frac{N_{2}{ }^{t}}{N_{4}^{t}}=\frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}} \frac{N_{1}}{N_{3}} \frac{\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{1} t}\right)}
$$

If the ratio of the parent isotopes is constant, the concentrations given in units of per cent-equavalent, and the precipitation is faster than 100 years, the equation for the age is:

$$
f=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}} \ln \left[\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{4}}, \frac{\overline{N_{4}}}{\overline{N_{2}}}\right]
$$

By eliminating $N_{0}$, we are able to make absolute age determinations without any theoretical assumptions.


Age determination by the ratio of two radio-nuclides that are produced by two radio-iostopes of the same element. The ordinate gives the ratio of activities of the two radiomuclides and the abscissa gives the time scale in non-dimensional units as multiples of mean lifetime.

The third method as one where assumptions must be made to estimate the original $N_{0}$. The assumption that there is a constant ratio between the radioactive isotope and a stable isotope of the same element is most common. This includes the assumption that there is a constant rate of production of the radio-1sotope. Therefore:

$$
\frac{N}{I}=\frac{N_{0}}{I} e^{-\lambda t}
$$

When the element is isolated it decays producing a change an this ratio. Age may be expresses as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\ln \frac{N_{0}}{I}-\ln \frac{N}{I}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \frac{N_{0}}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$



Decay of radoactive substance. The ordinate gives the ratıo of the orlginal amount of the radiomuclide to the amount left after a certain time. The abscissa gives the non-dimensional units andicating the time as multiples of mean lifetime.

The ratio of ionium (Th230) to $\mathrm{U}^{234}$ provides an excellent measure of age. In theory this method should be adequate for a time spen of about 4 half-lıves of lonlum, or 360,000 years.

For this dating method to be valid several prerequisites must be met. The $10 /$ Th ratio in the water adjacent to the sediment must remain constant over the time interval to be measured. Also the ionium and thorium should be in the same chemical form. Since thorium exists only in the 44 state under normal conditions in aqueous solution, and any lonzu has several thousands of years to become the more stable species, there is little questicn about this assumption. Also any urancum-supported ionium should be small or subtracted from the total ionium.

To determine the $10 / \mathrm{Th}^{232}$ ratio one may merely find the ratio of their activities, since both are radioactive and their activity is proportional to the number of atoms present. in order to determane the
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Work by Thurber, Broecker, Blanchard, and Potratz shows that the Io/Th ratio must be greater than 20 to gave reliable results. They also found that Io-U234 ages are very sensıtıve to small changes in relatave concetrations of $\mathrm{U}^{23 / 4}$ and Io. When the Io $1 s$ near equilibrium, precise calculatıon of ages is not possible. Thelr work is being applied to studies of coral formations.

Cherdyntsev, Malyshev, Sokolova, Kazachevskil, and Borisov are using similar proceedures in studying ages of peat in Russia. Goldberg and Koide are using this process to study the history of the Pacific Ocean. The Io/Th, dating process should prove to be a very valuable tool in learning about the origin of our earth.
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